

Exercises – Sheet 10

Zürich, December 3, 2021

Exercise 28

The *subset sum* problem (SUBSET-SUM for short) is the following decision problem: given a finite set $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ of natural numbers and a natural number t , decide if there exists a subset $U \subseteq S$ such that $\sum_{x \in U} x = t$. We seek to show that

$$3\text{SAT} \leq_p \text{SUBSET-SUM}$$

holds.

To get a reduction, we have to transform a formula in 3CNF to an instance of the subset sum problem. Let $\Phi = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_k$ be a formula in 3CNF over the set of variables $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that every variable x_i occurs in at least one clause C_j and that no clause contains both a variable and its negation.

Now we construct two $(n+k)$ -digit decimal numbers r_i and r'_i for every variable x_i and two $(n+k)$ -digit decimal numbers s_j and s'_j for every clause C_j . The set S of the subset sum problem instance consists of exactly these $2(n+k)$ numbers.

The idea behind this construction is to assign each of the first n digits to a variable and each of the last k digits to a clause. We denote by $x[l]$ the l -th digit of $x \in S$, i. e., $x = x[1]x[2] \dots x[n+k]$.

Then we can define the numbers in S , for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $1 \leq j \leq k$, and the sum t as

$$\begin{aligned} r_i[l] &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } l = i \text{ or } (n+1 \leq l \leq n+k \text{ and } x_i \text{ occurs as a literal in } C_{l-n}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ r'_i[l] &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } l = i \text{ or } (n+1 \leq l \leq n+k \text{ and } \bar{x}_i \text{ occurs as a literal in } C_{l-n}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ s_j[l] &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } l = n+j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ s'_j[l] &= \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } l = n+j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ t[l] &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq n \\ 4 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Prove that this reduction satisfies the following: Φ is satisfiable if and only if there exists a subset $U \subseteq S$ such that $\sum_{x \in U} x = t$.

10 points

Exercise 29

Let Σ be an arbitrary alphabet such that $|\Sigma| \geq 2$. Let $w = a_1a_2 \dots a_m$ be a word such that $a_i \in \Sigma$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We say that a word $x = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$ is a *subsequence* of w if $n \leq m$ and there exist indices $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$ such that $x_j = w_{i_j}$ holds for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Intuitively, all symbols of x appear in w in the same order, but not necessarily consecutively.

A word w over Σ is *Davenport-Schinzel sequence* of order d if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) no two consecutive symbols in w are equal,
- (ii) for all $a, b \in \Sigma$ with $a \neq b$, there exists no subsequence $(ab)^{1+(d/2)}$ (for even d) or $(ab)^{(d+1)/2}a$ (for odd d) in w .

Provide a propositional formula in CNF that describes if there exists a Davenport-Schinzel sequence of order 2 and of length m over a given alphabet Σ with $|\Sigma| = k \geq 2$. **10 points**